

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/058,543	Applicant(s) AL-KOFAHI ET AL.	
	Examiner John B. Strega	Art Unit 2625	

**All Participants:**

(1) John B. Strega.

(2) Jacques Etkowicz.

**Date of Interview:** 14 March 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
Claims discussed:  
24,26-28  
  
Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_


(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**BHAVESH M. MEHTA**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TEC POLICY CENTER 2600**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated the interview to discuss the 112 and 103 problems related to the new claims 26-28 as well as in the amended claim 24. The Applicant's attorney agreed to cancel claims 26-28 and amend claim 24 to delete the problematic parts so that the case can be allowed. .